

The urban sediments of Karlsplatz, Vienna (Austria) as a candidate Auxiliary Boundary Stratotype Section and Point for the Anthropocene series

The Anthropocene Review

1–14

© The Author(s) 2022



Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions

DOI: 10.1177/20530196221136427

journals.sagepub.com/home/anr

Michael Wagreich,¹  Maria Meszar,¹
Kira Lappé,¹ Janis Wolf,¹  Martin Mosser,²
Katrin Hornek,³ Veronika Koukal,¹ 
Constance Litschauer,² Nikolaos Piperakis²
and Karin Hain¹

Abstract

Anthropogenic strata form the layered urban archive in the underground of large cities. In a transdisciplinary project involving geosciences, isotope physics and urban archaeology, we looked for artificial isotopes and anthropogenic trace metals. The tested archaeological site Karlsplatz is situated in the city of Vienna (Austria). Archaeology and historical data set provide age constraints around 1922, post-1945 and at 1959. A layer on top of the WW2 rubble that covers foundations of a 1922 building post-dates 1945, and pre-dates the levelling of the artificial park ground in 1959. The fine-grained sediment matrix of these layers is mixed with backfilled soil material. Samples were analysed for trace elements such as lead, copper and zinc, and prepared for chemical separation of actinides analysed by Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS). Several artificial radionuclides, including the plutonium and uranium isotopes ^{239}Pu , ^{240}Pu and ^{236}U , were found in the post-1945 layer, and the $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ isotope ratio clearly points to the presence of atmospheric atomic bomb fallout material of the 1950s. Thus, the bomb-spike can be identified and used as a primary stratigraphic marker even in coarse urban anthropogenic sediments, exemplifying the correlation potential of these radionuclide markers and demarking a correlative auxiliary stratotype section and point.

Keywords

Anthropocene, anthropogenic, fallout, stratotype, plutonium, technofossil, Vienna

¹University of Vienna, Austria

²Wien Museum, Austria

³University of Applied Arts Vienna, Austria

Corresponding author:

Michael Wagreich, Department of Geology, University of Vienna, Josef Hlaubek Platz 2, Vienna, 1090, Austria.

Email: Michael.wagreich@univie.ac.at

Introduction

Today, urban artificial sediments form a major part of the global anthropogenic sediment mass load (e.g. Luberti, 2018; Terrington et al., 2018) and record the growing influence of humans on depositional processes, especially in the human-worked ground of cities from the Late Holocene (Meghalayan) to the Anthropocene. In urban areas, archaeological and geological stratigraphy meet within these youngest, often reworked sediment layers that build the uppermost urban ground (Edgeworth, 2014). Although not ideally suited to define the Anthropocene in terms of chronostratigraphic methods, requiring the definition of a base by a Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) (see Waters et al., 2018), anthropogenic urban sediments provide massive, datable and significant geological archives for stratigraphic correlation. The preparatory activities of the Anthropocene Working Group, including events leading to the submission of GSSP proposals and the binding decision that the base of the Anthropocene should align with stratigraphic signals dating to the mid-20th century, are detailed in the introductory article to this special issue (Waters et al., 2022).

Vienna was selected as a site for a potential Anthropocene GSSP project on urban sediments based on the pioneering work of the Austrian geologist Eduard Suess (1831–1914), who produced the first geological map of a major capital city (around 1900, Vienna had about 2 million inhabitants), which included anthropogenic deposits, termed ‘Schuttdecke’ (rubble layer) by Suess (1862). Suess (1897) later published a further Vienna map, recording the changes within more than 35 years of city growth, especially the newly formed parts of his ‘Schuttdecke’, due to the demolition of old city wall and fortresses. Although, >40 m thick deposits are proved in the borehole core data base in Vienna (<https://www.wien.gv.at/baugk/public/>), sites with sediments dating from mid-20th century are comparably rare due to multiple reworking of the uppermost parts for construction purposes, including metro lines, buildings and underground parking facilities.

The settlement history in the Vienna area started at least around 5000 Before Common Era (BCE) when settlements were established along the Danube River in the early Neolithic period, or even before in the Late Palaeolithic (Schmitsberger et al., 2019). In the first century Common Era (CE), Vindobona, the Roman garrison town (i.e. military fortress and associated civilian settlements) with up to 15,000 inhabitants (e.g. Kronberger and Mosser, 2015), marks the birth of the city of Vienna. Ample Roman remnants were found in the city, especially in the first district near to the Danube River (Figure 1). However, most of the cores and excavated sections in and around the first district lack post-1945 layers of considerable thickness due to vast reworking and construction.

Based on historical site investigations, the archaeological excavation site at the Karlsplatz (Figure 1), in front of the Wien Museum, was chosen as a candidate for a mid-20th century base of the Anthropocene. It includes the anthropogenic fill of the Wien River before 1900 and a levelling period of the park area in the 1950s which showed the potential of finding urban anthropogenic deposits and layers deposited during this decade. Therefore, the archaeological excavation was tested for artificial radionuclides from the elemental group of the actinides, such as plutonium (^{239}Pu , $t_{1/2} = 24,100$ years) or uranium (^{236}U , $t_{1/2} = 23.4$ million years), which accumulated in significant amounts only from human activities, that is nuclear weapons or reactors (e.g. Waters et al., 2015). Furthermore, the section includes some trace metals such as lead, copper and zinc which have a strong affinity to anthropogenic contamination.

Materials and methods

Geographic setting

The archaeological excavation Karlsplatz – Wien Museum (Vienna Urban archaeology site # 2019_26: Karlsplatz, Wien Museum, Vienna, Austria; Mosser, 2019; Mosser and Litschauer, 2021) is




Figure 1. Google scene from the city of Vienna with the Karlsplatz archaeological site indicated. Inset shows the position within Austria, Central Europe.

situated within the City of Vienna, the capital of Austria, close to the southern boundary of the first district of Vienna (Figure 1). Before 1860, the Karlsplatz site was outside the (mediaeval) main city walls, in a suburban naturally vegetated area in the vicinity of the Wien River, a tributary of the Danube. After the demolition of the city walls and fortresses starting around 1860, the area was part of a park located in front of the Karlskirche (church built in 1739), which was modified into an urban square during 1894–1900 by enclosure and vaulting of the Wien River into an underground canal and by filling the natural course of the river channel with urban rubble. From 1922 onwards, a sales hall (emporium) for luxury goods was situated at the site. After the end of WW2 in 1945, excavations for the construction of for the Wien Museum began in the 1950s with the park in front of the museum opened in 1959. Later construction work of the wider area, such as the metro in the 1970s, did not affect the actual excavation site. The geographic coordinates of the section are N 48.199167° E 16.372611° (the base of section is at an altitude of 169.92 m above Adria sea level).

Field collection and sampling

The archaeological excavation at Karlsplatz ran from October 7, 2019 to January 21, 2020, with reconnaissance work continuing until March 2021. The composite geological section at Karlsplatz consists of two parts, which were cleaned and sampled during October 25–October 29, 2019




Figure 2. Schematic sedimentological log and in situ section photograph from the Karlsplatz archaeological site. AZ3 denotes the fine-grained top soil, AZ4 sandy silt, AZ5 (fallout marker layer) bioturbated sandy silt, AZ6 sandy gravel, AZ7 gravelly sand. The base of AZ5 is indicated by a yellow arrow as the auxiliary stratotype point level.

(Figures 2 and 3). Sampling was done in ca. 10 cm intervals in the upper 64 cm of the first section (Figure 2, samples AZ3–AZ7). A composite section (Figure 4) was necessary in order to sample downwards because of the short lateral extent of individual archaeological layers such as the WW2 rubble, and the common existence of unconformities. Sample splits are archived at the collection of the Department of Geology, University of Vienna.

Further access to the excavated section is hindered by the fact that the investigated area is now used for a deep storage facility of the Wien Museum, so there is no possibility of excavating or coring at exactly the same site. Resampling further to the west of the Wien Museum building may be possible in the future, but needs special permits from the city administration.

The high-resolution archaeological photographic documentation of the site resulted in a 3D-model that is available at the web address (done by Crazy Eye, <https://crazyeye.at>; <https://sketchfab.com/3d-models/grabung-vor-dem-wm-verkaufshalle-1922-1934-ba5206bf380f464bae969cac1026700e>).

Chronological controls

The chronological context and controls at the site are based on the pre-excavation historical survey of the building activities in the Karlsplatz area, using historical maps, plans, photographs, paintings and a few borehole logs. This survey predicted probable chronological constraints, including the filling and containment of the Wien River in 1900, the opening of the sales hall in 1922, and the opening of the Wien Museum in 1959. During the excavation, dating of archaeological layers was combined with the survey data (Mosser, 2019; Mosser and Litschauer, 2021), and done



Figure 3. Photograph of the Karlsplatz Wien Museum excavation site, view southwards, Wien Museum to the left. White concrete basement structure of the sales hall (1922), to the left mainly level of the WW2 rubble, to the right (yellow arrow) the main part of the sampled section (samples AZ3–AZ7, see Figure 2).

using artefacts (technofossils), for example, the layer identified as WW2 rubble included military helmets, insignia, dated coins and toys from the WW2. The overlying levelled layer, finished in 1959, yielded a mixture of artefacts from the 19th to the 20th century, and in the surficial layer recent waste such as Polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles.

The sequence of layers at the Karlsplatz excavation site and the stratigraphic section is: The oldest road structures date from the 18th century, and are interbedded with some fluvial sands from flooding events by the Wien River (up to 4.2 m below ground surface at 165.93 m a.s.l.). This is followed by road structures of the first half of the 19th century (around 1825), including slag and waste products from metal-working industry. In 1867, the first major regulation of the Wien River was finished, the location of the river bed was redirected, and new roads with cobblestone pavements were built. During 1894–1900, the containment of the Wien River into an underground canal and filling of the natural course of the river with urban rubble took place. From 1922 to 1934 a sales hall (emporium) for luxury goods was located at the site (see basement structures in Figure 3), but it was abandoned and demolished in 1934, resulting in an unbuilt area until the end of WW2. After 1945, groundwork for the Wien Museum started in the 1950s, and the opening of the Wien Museum was in 1959, with planation of the park in front of the Wien Museum.

Anthropocene proxies

Anthropogenic sediments, mostly coarser-grained such as gravelly sands, were sampled focussing on the finer-grained matrix. Visible larger particles, artefacts and technofossils were handpicked




Figure 4. Copper (light green, top), lead (dark green, middle) and zinc (medium green, base) concentrations in ppm of the potential auxiliary section (upper part of figure, compare Figure 2) and the composite section (lower part of figure). The ages are constrained by historical and archaeological site data.

for further analyses. About 300–500 g of the finer-grained sedimentary matrix was collected from the freshly opened excavations. Samples were prepared by sieving sediments, with a mesh size of 2 mm to provide a comparable basis for geochemistry analyses of the grain-size fraction finer than 2 mm. Samples for chemical analysis were pulverized in an agate mill (achate) from the sieved and dried fine-grained sediment fraction.

Changes in the geochemistry of this stratal archive, especially for trace metals such as Pb, Cu and Zn, were analysed by X-Ray fluorescence (XRF), using the Philips PW 2404 XRF spectrometer of the Department of Lithospheric Research, University of Vienna, Austria. Samples were calibrated against rock standards (international standards as tabulated by the International Association

of Geoanalysts at www.geoanalyst.org) that were physically prepared for chemical analysis following the same methods used for field samples.

Artefacts, used as technofossils to provide age information, were collected during the excavation. However, the mixing of artefacts and sediment from several decades or even centuries was recognized within single stratigraphic units due to the large amount and volume of reworked material in these urban sediments. This hindered a more precise dating of the layers, providing something more comparable to a broad biostratigraphic zone correlation concept (e.g. Remane et al., 1996) than precise geochronological dating.

Detection of anthropogenic marker actinides by AMS

Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) is an ultra-sensitive ion counting technique for long-lived radionuclides. The advantage of AMS for measuring actinides is the absence of analytical tails from neighbouring masses and the virtually complete suppression of molecular isobars, such as the hydrogen compounds ^{235}UH and ^{238}UH in the measurement of the uranium (U) and plutonium (Pu) isotopes ^{236}U and ^{239}Pu , respectively. The AMS setup at the Vienna Environmental Research Accelerator (VERA) has demonstrated an abundance sensitivity for $^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ of about 10^{-13} (Steier et al., 2019). AMS is a relative measurement technique, which means that the detected radionuclides have to be normalized by isotope ratio measurements. Anthropogenic U isotopes usually are normalized against naturally occurring ^{238}U , with for example ^{236}U measurement results reported as $^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ ratios. For the analysis of radionuclides without stable isotopes, that is Pu, americium (Am) or neptunium (Np), a defined amount of another artificial isotope (called a 'spike') of the corresponding element has to be added at the beginning of sample preparation. From the measurement relative to the spike an absolute concentration of the radionuclide of interest in the initial sample can be calculated. There is no sufficiently pure isotopic spike available for the mass-spectrometric analysis of environmental ^{237}Np (Hain et al., 2022), so the Pu spike is used for normalisation, leading to higher uncertainties of the final ^{237}Np results.

AMS requires only a few mg of solid sample material for the measurement. The aim of the sample preparation, therefore, is to extract the atoms of interest from several grams of sediment and to embed them in a pure material, usually Fe_2O_3 . A first test measurement on sample AZ5 from Karlsplatz showed that half of the initial sample, that is around 10 g, is sufficient for the detection of the anthropogenic radionuclide signal using the AMS. Similar to the procedures published for marine sediments (e.g. Sakaguchi et al., 2009), also the urban sediments were leached in concentrated HNO_3 to extract the anthropogenic actinides, which in general are attached to the surface of the sediment particles. The actinides were then further purified and separated from each other using an established procedure (Hain et al., 2022), based on extraction chromatography which yields a U, a combined Pu and Np, and an Am fraction. From each of the three fractions a solid AMS sample was prepared by $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ co-precipitation of the respective actinide using 2 mg of Fe. The precipitate was calcined at 800°C to obtain Fe_2O_3 so that the actinides were also prepared as oxides. The Fe_2O_3 powder was pressed into aluminium sample holders, forming the final AMS sample. Consequently, for each initial sediment sample, three AMS samples were installed into the AMS ion source, that is one for the U fraction, one for Am and one for the Pu/Np fraction of the initial sample. In parallel with the samples, process blanks were also generated from the suprapure chemicals used in the chemical procedure, in order to check for impurities.

Routine actinide analysis at VERA was described in detail previously (e.g. Hain et al., 2020; Steier et al., 2019; Winkler et al., 2015). The actinides of interest, mainly plutonium herein, are extracted from the caesium sputtering ion source as oxide molecular anions, for example PuO^- . After a first mass separation, these anions are injected into the tandem accelerator, which is

operated at a terminal voltage of around 1.7 MV. In the high-voltage terminal of the accelerator, the ions are stripped to positive charge states in a helium gas and the molecular bindings are broken so that molecular background is efficiently suppressed. This leads to the exceptionally high sensitivities of AMS for trace radioisotopes. After passing additional momentum, energy and velocity filters after the accelerator, for example a 90° dipole magnet, the remaining ions in charge state 3+ are identified by a Bragg type ionization chamber. While the ions of the spike isotopes ^{242}Pu and ^{243}Am are counted in the same detector for normalisation, $^{238}\text{U}^{3+}$ is measured as a macroscopic beam current. In order to account for differences in the detection efficiency between the current and the count rate measurement and for quality assurance, the analysis of the two ^{236}U in-house standard materials Vienna KkU ($^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U} = (6.98 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-11}$ (Steier et al., 2008)) and Vienna-US8 ($^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U} = (1.01 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-8}$) (Shinonaga et al., 2014) are included in the measurement. For the interpretation of the results, the conservative assumption was made that the ^{236}U blank level is equal to, or below, the concentration of the sample with the lowest count rate of ^{236}U events, that is sample WMP3. This approach is in good agreement with the results of the process blanks from which similar count rates of up to 0.004 counts/second were obtained. Correspondingly, the formal blank correction led to an upper limit for the absolute concentration of Pu isotopes in this sample (compare Table 2). As ^{241}Am is produced from Pu in a nuclear device, this radioisotope was only analysed in samples in which a distinct Pu signal above background was detected.

Results

Lithology

The walls and basement of the sales hall built in 1922 form the base of the continuously logged and sampled Anthropocene candidate ‘GSSP’ section (Figure 2). The WW2 rubble, a sandy gravel which fills the remains of these constructions (AZ6 on Figure 2), contains significantly high concentrations of lead, zinc and copper and some traces of plutonium (which may reflect contamination from the formerly exposed rubble surface and/or later sediments). The WW2 rubble, together with the debris from the destruction of the 1922 market hall, are separated by an unconformity from the overlying finer-grained artificial ground and soil that is interpreted as post-WW2. The unconformity is overlain by sandy to silty, humus-rich layers and soil layers with interpreted ages up to 1959, the year of the opening of the Museum when the park area was levelled and recultivated. Increasing upwards in the 1959 soil layer, bioturbation gives the fine-grained sediment a more massive appearance lacking clear layering, with some visible winding tubes up to 5 mm in diameter resembling earthworm structures. Weak solidification of these clay-rich intervals occurs especially after drying.

Mean sediment accumulation rates, although rather arbitrary due to the non-continuous and strongly episodic character of sedimentation, ample signs of reworking and strong lateral thickness changes, are about 0.64 cm/year using the 1922 levelling (which includes AZ3–AZ5 and the thickest part of the WW2 rubble layer), and 0.75 cm/year (AZ3–AZ5) based on the 1945 WW2 rubble top unconformity and the lack of indications of the 1986 Chernobyl event within the section top (Figure 2). For the maximum depth of the excavation (6.30 m) and the corresponding age of the base at the beginning of the 18th century construction of, and around, the Karlskirche, a mean sedimentation rate of about 2 cm/year can be calculated; this higher value is partly due to the significant infilling of the former Wien River channel.

Plastics have been reported during excavation of the WW2 layer (AZ6) and the top part of the section (AZ3 and higher). Due to the possibility of contamination and lack of a clean preparation lab, microplastics have not yet been tested or analysed.

Table 1. Absolute concentrations (in ppm) of the trace metals copper, lead and zinc in the auxiliary and composite Karlsplatz sections. Sample AZ5 denotes the base of the post-1945 levelling layer of the 1950s.

Sample/ppm	Cu	Pb	Zn
AZ3	35	56	99
AZ4	30	45	86
AZ5	24	28	61
AZ6	33	47	85
AZ7	42	75	74
WM5	71	208	196
WMP3	30	27	53
AZ10	55	83	125
AZ29	330	633	852
AZ31	25	57	27
AZ34	32	128	33

Trace metals

The concentrations of lead, copper and zinc (Table 1) show co-variance, with two peaks within the composite section. The first and most pronounced peak of these three trace metals is within sample AZ29 (Figure 4; Pb 633 ppm, Cu 330 ppm, Zn 852 ppm, Table 1) from the Wien River embankment which is older than 1899 (the last phase of Wien River regulation) and probably older than 1867 (the first major phase of river regulation). It relates to an ash and slag layer from the first half of the 19th century.

The second peak, not as pronounced as the first one, is noted within the WW2 rubble layer (sample WM5, Pb 208 ppm, Cu 71 ppm, Zn 196 ppm). Values from higher levels, within sample AZ5, show normal mean values that are about one-third of the concentration of WW2 values (Pb 28 ppm, Cu 24 ppm, Zn 61 ppm) and thus show no trace metal peak after 1945. Instead, in the uppermost layer, the active top soil, a slight recent enrichment is marked in sample AZ3 with nearly doubled values compared to the 1950s (Pb 56 ppm, Cu 35 ppm, Zn 99 ppm).

Radioisotopes

AMS analyses of actinides (Table 2) showed a clear signal of high ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu concentrations (5.0×10^7 and 1.6×10^8 atoms/g of ^{239}Pu) in samples AZ5 and AZ3. ^{239}Pu as marker signal is also present in the topmost part of the WW2 rubble, however in considerably lower concentration of 8.0×10^6 atoms/g. No Pu signal above background was detected in sediments older than 1922 (sample WMP3).

In addition, an increasing trend from the oldest to the youngest sample was identified for several other artificial radionuclides including $^{236}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$, and ^{237}Np (Meszar et al., 2021). For the two youngest layers AZ5 and AZ3 with the highest Pu concentrations, a clear ^{241}Am signal was also detected above background. Isotope ratios such as $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ (Table 2) and $^{233}\text{U}/^{236}\text{U}$, the latter which was recently introduced as an anthropogenic tracer (Hain et al., 2020), also point to atmospheric nuclear weapons fallout material of the 1950s to 1960s. A significant input of material from the 1986 Chernobyl accident is also considered unlikely in the uppermost sample AZ3 based on the ratio $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ (Table 2). Published $^{240}\text{Pu}/^{239}\text{Pu}$ ratios of 0.408 ± 0.003 (Muramatsu et al., 2000), which have been attributed to the Chernobyl accident, are clearly higher than the ratio assigned to

Table 2. Concentrations (atoms/g) of plutonium isotopes as well as the ²³⁶U/²³⁸U ratio which are clearly increasing from the oldest to the youngest layer.

Sample	Concentration				Ratio		Ratio	
	Pu-239		Pu-240		Pu-240/Pu-239		U-236/U-238	
	(atoms/g)		(atoms/g)					
AZ3	1.58E+08 ±	9.93E+06	2.36E+07 ±	2.42E+06	0.150 ±	0.018	1.23E-08 ±	4.86E-10
AZ5	5.02E+07 ±	8.99E+05	8.69E+06 ±	2.18E+05	0.173 ±	0.003	7.90E-09 ±	7.37E-10
WM5	7.99E+06 ±	6.15E+05	1.35E+06 ±	1.34E+05	0.169 ±	0.021	3.95E-09 ±	2.45E-10
WMP3	0.00E+00 ±	5.21E+04	0.00E+00 ±	3.39E+04			7.55E-10 ±	1.25E-10

The ²⁴⁰Pu/²³⁹Pu isotope ratios of plutonium are in good agreement with the published value for global fallout of 0.180 ± 0.007 (Kelley et al., 1999). No Pu ratio is reported for WMP3 as no Pu signal above background was detected in this layer. Sample AZ5 denotes the base of the levelling 1950s to 1959 layer. Uncertainties are reported as ± 1σ.

global fallout ratio (²⁴⁰Pu/²³⁹Pu = 0.180 ± 0.007) (Kelley et al., 1999), whereas the measured ratio of AZ3 is slightly lower but still in agreement with global fallout within 2σ uncertainty. An in-depth discussion of the radionuclide results and the interpretation of isotope ratios will be published elsewhere.

Technofossils

Technofossils occur throughout the section, and are especially abundant and diverse in the WW2 layer, including entire components and fragments of glass, plastics, ceramics and bricks but also cartridge casings, a German army helmet and guns. However, the ample reworking and re-usage of construction material indicates a strong re-mixture of various older technofossils, including Roman bricks, into the younger layers. Therefore, dating using technofossils is not straightforward and needs careful sampling to find the youngest technofossil from a layer to provide a maximum age.

Discussion

The urban Anthropocene, exemplified by the anthropogenic sediment layers and the section at the Karlsplatz archaeological excavation, Vienna, provides means of stratigraphic correlations and gives information on the suitability of marker signals (Figure 5). The appearance of artificial radionuclide markers, such as ²³⁹Pu and ²⁴⁰Pu, in coarse urban anthropogenic sediments of a major capital city as Vienna demonstrates the globally-synchronous appearance of these radionuclides, which makes them exemplary for defining the Anthropocene (chrono)stratigraphically. Thus, the fallout radionuclides were found in the expected artificial soil layer that had been transported to the area until 1959, before the opening of the Wien Museum nearby to the section site. In the rather heterogeneous material the fallout markers show some redistribution, with very low concentrations extending into the 1945 WW2 rubble layer below, caused by radionuclide migration due to illuviation and/or human activity. With the maximum global fallout in the Northern Hemisphere deposited in 1963 (UNSCEAR, 1982), as expected, the top soil layer (younger than 1959) shows the highest radionuclide concentrations. Smaller contributions to the top soil layer at a later date might originate from global fallout which was re-mobilized at other locations and transported to the sampling site. No clear evidence for an admixture of younger radionuclide events, including the Chernobyl reactor accident (1986), was found within the top soil layer.




Figure 5. Summary of main marker concentrations of the four time slices archived in the Karlsplatz section and analysed for plutonium isotopes and lead. Uncertainties are reported as $\pm 1\sigma$.

Trace metals show a significantly different distribution, with highest levels in the 19th century in a layer rich in charcoal and ash, and in the WW2 rubble. This indicates strong anthropogenic contamination and local control on the trace metals lead, copper and zinc, as indicated also by the correlation with technofossil (artefact) findings such as slag remains and cast iron hardware from nearby cast iron manufacturers, active from 1750 to 1851 and 1872, respectively (Mosser, 2019; Mosser and Litschauer, 2021). The second peak in trace metals derives from the WW2 rubble, where artefacts including a German army helmet and Nazi insignia and other similar technofossils were found. These very local trace metal contaminations surpass the background values 3–10 times, but the suggested base layer AZ5 of the Anthropocene shows no significant trace metal accumulation, also owing to its origin as a transported layer of soil and humus for the park area developed in front of the Wien Museum. Similarly, the technofossil content of this layer is rather suppressed and negligible due to probable sieving and manual sorting of this 1950 humus. Only in the topsoil, plastic becomes a more wide-spread component and trace metals show a mild enrichment, which may correlate to the gasoline lead peak of the 1960s to 1970s (e.g. Gałuszka and Wagreich, 2019).

The requirements for a GSSP broadly relevant to the Quaternary System are indicated by Head and Gibbard (2015). The GSSP section should *provide the best possible record of the relevant marker events*, and especially the *primary event, in an exposure over an adequate thickness of sediments*, but also any relevant auxiliary markers, in order *to achieve maximum correlation potential* (italics cited following Head and Gibbard, 2015). The data from the Karlsplatz section suggest the primary marker be the correlation of the fallout radionuclides, especially the ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu concentrations and ratios. Secondary markers are trace metals such as lead, copper and zinc and various technofossils including plastics, glass fragments, bricks, but due to local sources and ample reworking, these signals do not form clear markers and cannot be correlated more regionally. Concerning plastics, it has to be noted that synthetic material was already used during WW2 times, and for precise dating, a closer look on the types of plastics to infer a detailed plastic stratigraphy is essential (Zalasiewicz et al., 2016).

The thickness of the reference section of about 60cm is adequate to resolve the Holocene-Anthropocene transition, however, sedimentation is not continuous at all, in contrast, deposition is highly episodic, marked by severe unconformities between more rapidly deposited ‘event’ layers (e.g. the WW2 rubble ‘event’), with common reworking and redistribution of these artificial sediments and soils. Mean *sediment accumulation rates* are in the range of 0.6cm/year, but rather meaningless due to the episodic nature of the deposition. Due to the anthropogenic reworking, anthroturbation in the sense of Zalasiewicz et al. (2014), and the resulting extremely heterogeneous and strongly disturbed layering, *unambiguous interpretation of the sedimentary sequence both vertically and laterally* is difficult to achieve. Instead of *fossils*, various kinds of (correlatable) technofossils were found and allow more precise correlations when analysed in detail, such as WW2 material. Due to the nature of these artificial sediments, the base of the Anthropocene in the section at the base of bed AZ5, and thus the potential ‘Golden Spike’, shows an unconformity and *lithological change* from a gravel to a finer-grained soil that was surely transported to the site a few years later. This is a sign of a major *paleoenvironmental perturbation* as noted critically for GSSPs by Head and Gibbard (2015).

The necessary *direct quantitative calibration with dating* is achievable in a resolution of decades by historical (such as photographs of the area of the section) and archaeological/technofossil evidence. Methods such as *magnetostratigraphy* and *astrochronology* are not applicable, but a calendar decade historical dating is possible. *Intensive research* was performed in and around the section, including detailed archaeological stratigraphical and *chemostratigraphical* methods.

Accessibility and *protection* of the Karlsplatz site poses a further problem physically, as the section was excavated for the foundation of the deep storage facility in front of the Wien Museum, therefore the section itself is no longer accessible, only documented by photographs and a 3D model. *Re-sampling of the section* is therefore not possible due to the cover by a building. However, coring nearby in front of the building would be possible given permissions from the municipality of Vienna.

Based on the criteria as noted by Head and Gibbard (2015), and unlike other proposed GSSP sites for the Anthropocene, the Karlsplatz site is not a prime candidate GSSP, since it does not fulfil major criteria needed to define the lower boundary of a geological epoch, as specified by the International Commission on Stratigraphy (e.g. Remane et al., 1996). Importantly, because the investigated samples are urban deposits – including rubble from World War 2 and anthropogenic soils – there is no continuous record as required for a GSSP. There are rarely annual layers in anthropogenic sediments since deposition rates are usually highly variable, and mixing as well as redeposition is common. Further, because the site will be overbuilt, preservation and future sampling will be hindered.

However, the Karlsplatz site can be used as a reference section and to define an auxiliary stratotype section and/or point (Head et al., 2022) of the Anthropocene, as the stratigraphic markers

and technofossils in the urban rubble contain signals that have potential correlations with findings from other GSSP sites, including the fallout radionuclides such as plutonium, the trace metal concentrations, although strongly locally affected, and the technofossil record.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (ESR17-040), Wien Museum, and the UNESCO International Geoscience Program (IGCP 732).

ORCID iDs

Michael Wagreich  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8828-0857>

Janis Wolf  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1412-6275>

Veronika Koukal  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2136-9125>

References

- Edgeworth M (2014) The relationship between archaeological stratigraphy and artificial ground and its significance to the Anthropocene. In: Waters CN, Zalasiewicz J, Williams M, et al. (eds) *A Stratigraphical Basis for the Anthropocene*. London: Geological Society, pp.91–108, Special Publication 395.
- Gałuszka A and Wagreich M (2019) 5.6 trace metals. In: Zalasiewicz J, Waters CN, Williams M, et al. (eds) *The Anthropocene as a Geological Time Unit: A Guide to the Scientific Evidence and Current Debate*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp.178–186.
- Hain K, Martschini M, Gülce F, et al. (2022) Developing accelerator mass spectrometry capabilities for anthropogenic radionuclide analysis to extend the set of oceanographic tracers. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 9: 837515.
- Hain K, Steier P, Froehlich MB, et al. (2020) $^{233}\text{U}/^{236}\text{U}$ signature allows to distinguish environmental emissions of civil nuclear industry from weapons fallout. *Nature Communications* 11: 1275.
- Head MJ, Aubry M-P, Piller WE, et al. (2022) The Standard Auxiliary Boundary Stratotype: A proposed replacement for the auxiliary stratotype point in supporting a Global boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP). *Episodes*. Epub ahead of print 15 June 2022. DOI: 10.18814/epiugs/2022/022012.
- Head MJ and Gibbard PL (2015) Formal subdivision of the Quaternary System/period: Past, present, and future. *Quaternary International* 383: 4–35.
- Kelley JM, Bond LA and Beasley TM (1999) Global distribution of Pu isotopes and ^{237}Np . *The Science of the Total Environment* 237–238: 483–500.
- Kronberger M and Mosser M (2015) Wien – Vindobona. In: Gassner V and Pülz A (eds) *Der römische Limes in Österreich. Führer zu den archäologischen Denkmälern*. Vienna: Austrian Academy of Sciences Press, pp.242–267.
- Luberti GM (2018) Computation of modern anthropogenic-deposit thicknesses in urban areas: A case study in Rome, Italy. *The Anthropocene Review* 5: 2–27.
- Meszar M, Hain K, Wagreich M, et al. (2021) Tracing the Anthropocene bomb-spike in urban strata of Vienna. EGU General Assembly 2021, EGU21-11930.
- Mosser M (2019) KG Wieden, 4. Bezirk. *Fundberichte aus Österreich* 58: 454–455.
- Mosser M and Litschauer C (2021) Bericht über die archäologische Grabung Wien 4, Karlsplatz vor Nr. 8 (Wien Museum neu 2019–2021). Unpublished report of the Museen der Stadt Wien - Stadtarchäologie.
- Muramatsu Y, Rühm W, Yoshida S, et al. (2000) Concentrations of ^{239}Pu and ^{240}Pu and their isotopic ratios determined by ICP-MS in soils collected from the Chernobyl 30-km zone. *Environmental Science & Technology* 34(14): 2913–2917.
- Remane J, Bassett MG, Cowie JW, et al. (1996) Revised guidelines for the establishment of global chronostratigraphic standards by the International Commission on Stratigraphy (ICS). *Episodes* 19: 77–81.
- Sakaguchi A, Kawai K, Steier P, et al. (2009) First results on ^{236}U levels in global fallout. *The Science of the Total Environment* 407(14): 4238–4242.

- Schmitsberger O, Fladerer FA, Händel M, et al. (2019) Hunting horse at the Danube - A late Pleistocene cannon bone with cut-marks from Vienna-Nussdorf and its (re)discovery. In: Nagel D and Kavcik-Graumann N (eds) *Festschrift zum 80. Geburtstag von emer. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Mag. Gernot Rabeder. Berichte der Geologischen Bundesanstalt*, vol. 132. Vienna: Geological Survey of Austria, pp.155–183.
- Shinonaga T, Steier P, Lagos M, et al. (2014) Airborne plutonium and Non-Natural uranium from the Fukushima DNPP found at 120 km distance a few days after reactor hydrogen explosions. *Environmental Science & Technology* 48(7): 3808–3814.
- Steier P, Bichler M, Keith Fifield L, et al. (2008) Natural and anthropogenic ^{236}U in environmental samples. *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B, Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 266: 2246–2250.
- Steier P, Hain K, Klötzli U, et al. (2019) The actinide beamline at VERA. *Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section B, Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms* 458: 82–89.
- Suess E (1862) *Der Boden der Stadt Wien nach seiner Bildungsweise, Beschaffenheit und seinen Beziehungen zum Bürgerlichen Leben. Eine geologische Studie von Eduard Suess*. Wien: Wilhelm Braumüller.
- Suess E (1897) *Der boden der Stadt Wien und sein Relief + geol. Karte. Geschichte der Stadt Wien*, 1.
- Terrington RL, Silva ÉC, Waters CN, et al. (2018) Quantifying anthropogenic modification of the shallow geosphere in central London, UK. *Geomorphology* 319: 15–34.
- UNSCEAR (1982) *Ionizing Radiation Sources and Biological Effects, Annex E*. United Nation Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, United Nation Publication, New York.
- Waters CN, Turner SD, Zalasiewicz J, et al. (2022) Candidate sites and other reference sections for the Global boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) of the Anthropocene Series. *The Anthropocene Review* XX: X–X.
- Waters CN, Syvitski JPM, Gałuszka A, et al. (2015) Can nuclear weapons fallout mark the beginning of the Anthropocene Epoch? *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* 71(3): 46–57.
- Waters CN, Zalasiewicz J, Summerhayes C, et al. (2018) Global Boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the Anthropocene Series: Where and how to look for potential candidates. *Earth-Science Reviews* 178: 379–429.
- Winkler SR, Steier P, Buchriegler J, et al. (2015) He stripping for AMS of ^{236}U and other actinides using a 3MV tandem accelerator. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B* 361: 458–464.
- Zalasiewicz J, Waters CN and Williams M (2014) Human bioturbation, and the subterranean landscape of the Anthropocene. *Anthropocene* 6: 3–9.
- Zalasiewicz J, Waters CN, Ivar Do Sul JA, et al. (2016) The geological cycle of plastics and their use as a stratigraphic indicator of the Anthropocene. *Anthropocene* 13: 4–17.